Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/541,003	VAN BRUG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy V. Eley	3724
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Timothy V. Eley</u> .	(3)	
(2) Tony Gayoso.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 February 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 35		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner informed Mr. Gayoso that upon further review of claims 1 and 35, it was determined that the claims did not raise substantial new issues and were in condittion for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summany</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)